



## BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK

---

Schijvens Confectiefabriek Hilvarenbeek B.V.

PUBLICATION DATE: OCTOBER 2019

this report covers the evaluation period 01-06-2018 to 31-05-2019

## ABOUT THE BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK

Fair Wear Foundation believes that improving conditions for apparel product location workers requires change at many levels. Traditional efforts to improve conditions focus primarily on the product location. FWF, however, believes that the management decisions of clothing brands have an enormous influence for good or ill on product location conditions.

FWF's Brand Performance Check is a tool to evaluate and report on the activities of FWF's member companies. The Checks examine how member company management systems support FWF's Code of Labour Practices. They evaluate the parts of member company supply chains where clothing is assembled. This is the most labour intensive part of garment supply chains, and where brands can have the most influence over working conditions.

In most apparel supply chains, clothing brands do not own product locations, and most product locations work for many different brands. This means that in most cases FWF member companies have influence, but not direct control, over working conditions. As a result, the Brand Performance Checks focus primarily on verifying the efforts of member companies. Outcomes at the product location level are assessed via audits and complaint reports, however the complexity of the supply chains means that even the best efforts of FWF member companies cannot guarantee results.

Even if outcomes at the product location level cannot be guaranteed, the importance of good management practices by member companies cannot be understated. Even one concerned customer at a product location can have significant positive impacts on a range of issues like health and safety conditions or freedom of association. And if one customer at a product location can demonstrate that improvements are possible, other customers no longer have an excuse not to act. The development and sharing of these types of best practices has long been a core part of FWF's work.

The Brand Performance Check system is designed to accommodate the range of structures and strengths that different companies have, and reflects the different ways that brands can support better working conditions.

This report is based on interviews with member company employees who play important roles in the management of supply chains, and a variety of documentation sources, financial records, supplier data. The findings from the Brand Performance Check are summarized and published at [www.fairwear.org](http://www.fairwear.org). The online [Brand Performance Check Guide](#) provides more information about the indicators.

## BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK OVERVIEW

Schijvens Confectiefabriek Hilvarenbeek B.V.

Evaluation Period: 01-06-2018 to 31-05-2019

MEMBER COMPANY INFORMATION	
Headquarters:	Hilvarenbeek, Netherlands
Member since:	01-03-2010
Product types:	Workwear
Production in countries where FWF is active:	Bangladesh, China, Turkey
Production in other countries:	Morocco, Pakistan, Portugal, United Arab Emirates
BASIC REQUIREMENTS	
Workplan and projected production location data for upcoming year have been submitted?	Yes
Actual production location data for evaluation period was submitted?	Yes
Membership fee has been paid?	Yes
SCORING OVERVIEW	
% of own production under monitoring	100%
Benchmarking score	86
Category	Leader

## Summary:

Schijvens Confectiefabriek Hilvarenbeek has shown advanced progress and met most of FWF's performance requirements. Its monitoring threshold of 100%, combined with a benchmark score of 86, means that FWF has awarded Schijvens the 'Leader' rating.

Schijvens has worked on stabilising and consolidating its supply chain over the past years, which shows more concentration of production at a smaller number of production locations.

In the past financial year Schijvens started to increase wages at its production location in Pakistan, based on the same survey-based methodology applied for its production location in Turkey, where they already have implemented a wage increase based on the outcomes of a worker survey. FWF recommends Schijvens to further extend its efforts on living wage to encompass all its production.

Further, Schijvens has introduced an up to date planning system (PML) to avoid overtime hours by aligning the production planning better with the capacity in the factory. Schijvens experienced challenges related to the payment of overtime hours together with the introduction of the wage increase at its production location in Turkey. Schijvens should closely monitor the use of overtime hours and find ways to decrease overtime hours. FWF strongly recommends to continue discussing the topic of wages and overtime with factory management.

It is recommended to share audit results and corrective actions with worker representatives, whenever possible. Schijvens is encouraged to keep investing in factory training sessions for factory managers, workers and worker representatives.

## PERFORMANCE CATEGORY OVERVIEW

**Leader:** This category is for member companies who are doing exceptionally well, and are operating at an advanced level. Leaders show best practices in complex areas such as living wages and freedom of association.

**Good:** It is FWF's belief that member companies who are making a serious effort to implement the Code of Labour Practices—the vast majority of FWF member companies—are 'doing good' and deserve to be recognized as such. They are also doing more than the average clothing company, and have allowed their internal processes to be examined and publicly reported on by an independent NGO. The majority of member companies will receive a 'Good' rating.

**Needs Improvement:** Member companies are most likely to find themselves in this category when major unexpected problems have arisen, or if they are unable or unwilling to seriously work towards CoLP implementation. Member companies may be in this category for one year only after which they should either move up to Good, or will be moved to suspended.

**Suspended:** Member companies who either fail to meet one of the Basic Requirements, have had major internal changes which means membership must be put on hold for a maximum of one year, or have been in Needs Improvement for more than one year. Member companies may remain in this category for one year maximum, after which termination proceedings will come into force.

Categories are calculated based on a combination of benchmarking score and the percentage of own production under monitoring. The specific requirements for each category are outlined in the Brand Performance Check Guide.

## 1. PURCHASING PRACTICES

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.1a Percentage of production volume from production locations where member company buys at least 10% of production capacity.	67%	Member companies with less than 10% of a production location's production capacity generally have limited influence on production location managers to make changes.	Supplier information provided by member company.	3	4	0

Comment: In the past financial year, Schijvens has bought 67% of its production volume from production locations where it buys at least 10% of production capacity (compared to 77% last year).

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.1b Percentage of production volume from production locations where member company buys less than 2% of its total FOB.	1%	FWF provides incentives to clothing brands to consolidate their supplier base, especially at the tail end, as much as possible, and rewards those members who have a small tail end. Shortening the tail end reduces social compliance risks and enhances the impact of efficient use of capital and remediation efforts.	Production location information as provided to FWF.	3	4	0

Comment: In the past financial year, Schijvens bought 1% of its production volume from production locations where it buys less than 2% of its total FOB (compared to 3% last year).

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.2 Percentage of production volume from production locations where a business relationship has existed for at least five years.	91%	Stable business relationships support most aspects of the Code of Labour Practices, and give production locations a reason to invest in improving working conditions.	Supplier information provided by member company.	4	4	0

Comment: In the past financial year, 91% of Schijvens' production volume came from production locations where a business relationship has existed for at least five years. This is an improvement compared to last year's 69 %.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.3 All (new) production locations are required to sign and return the questionnaire with the Code of Labour Practices before first bulk orders are placed.	Yes	The CoLP is the foundation of all work between production locations and brands, and the first step in developing a commitment to improvements.	Signed CoLPs are on file.	2	2	0

Comment: Schijvens asks all suppliers to sign and return the questionnaire before bulk orders are placed. In the past financial year, Schijvens started production at one new locations. The signed questionnaire and photo of the posted Code of Labour Practice was available.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.4 Member company conducts human rights due diligence at all (new) production locations before placing orders.	Advanced	Due diligence helps to identify, prevent and mitigate potential human rights problems at suppliers.	Documentation may include pre-audits, existing audits, other types of risk assessments.	4	4	0

Comment: Selection of new production locations happens through agents Schijvens already works with. Schijvens uses the FWF country studies, country specific guidance documents and CSR risk checker as part of the due diligence process, which is also part of the decision making process related to selecting new production locations. In addition, new locations are asked for existing audit reports. This information is discussed internally and incorporated in an overview per supplier which outlines the points for improvement for each production location. New production locations are visited and improvement points of existing audit reports are discussed.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.5 Production location compliance with Code of Labour Practices is evaluated in a systematic manner.	Yes, and leads to production decisions	A systemic approach is required to integrate social compliance into normal business processes, and supports good decisionmaking.	Documentation of systemic approach: rating systems, checklists, databases, etc.	2	2	0

Comment: Schijvens has a vendor rating system per production location, and CSR elements are included in this. Each year the supplier with the best score gets an award during the yearly supplier meeting, including a financial reward. Improvement points are also discussed during the supplier meeting. Suppliers that continue to score low and are less motivated to implement improvements, will receive less orders. Remaining orders are redistributed among suppliers that score well. Hence Schijvens creates a pool of reliable and CSR oriented suppliers.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.6 The member company's production planning systems support reasonable working hours.	Strong, integrated systems in place.	Member company production planning systems can have a significant impact on the levels of excessive overtime at production locations.	Documentation of robust planning systems.	4	4	0

Comment: Schijvens knows the production capacity per production location, as this has been part of the discussions prior to the first (bulk)orders. During the production window, Schijvens has fully implemented a PLM-program (Product Life cycle Management) that provides bi-weekly factory production updates for all production locations. As a result, Schijvens becomes aware of (potential) delivery delays much earlier and therefore has more time to discuss delivery times with its customers. Late delivery is also part of the vendor system and remains high priority for Schijvens in communication with suppliers.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.7 Degree to which member company mitigates root causes of excessive overtime.	Intermediate efforts	Some production delays are outside of the control of member companies; however there are a number of steps that can be taken to address production delays without resorting to excessive overtime.	Evidence of how member responds to excessive overtime and strategies that help reduce the risk of excessive overtime, such as: root cause analysis, reports, correspondence with factories, etc.	3	6	0

**Comment:** Excessive overtime is a common finding in audits done at Schijvens' production locations. Throughout the year this topic is discussed with suppliers. Schijvens found out that production delays at its factory in Pakistan were caused by material delays from dye houses. Therefore, Schijvens has decided to preorder fabric and store fabric at its production locations, that way fabric is always available once the order needs to start. In the past financial year Schijvens started to use recycled materials, which means they got a closer relationship with their fabric suppliers allowing them to have better insight in the production process.

Another cause of overtime has to do with hick-ups in communication between Schijvens and its production locations. Production locations found it difficult to indicate that they experience delays and inform Schijvens when it is already too late. Over the past years Schijvens has improved its overall relationship with its suppliers, which also improved communication.

When Schijvens introduced a monthly target wage at its production location in Turkey, Schijvens experienced that workers continued with their overtime hours and the factory had to pay overtime rates on top of the stable monthly income, which significantly increased the expenses of the factory and Schijvens. To prevent peak months and overtime hours Schijvens supports factory management with their production planning, for instance to support factory management to connect real time production planning to production capacity (i.e. enough manpower).

In addition, Schijvens has taken on FWF's recommendation and has started to actively approach other customers sourcing at the same production location to address the topic of overtime.

**Recommendation:** FWF strongly recommends Schijvens to continue discussing with factory management on the causes of excessive overtime and provide support to manage and reduce overtime hours. Also to find ways to avoid irregular monthly working hours as much as possible.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.8 Member company can demonstrate the link between its buying prices and wage levels in production locations.	Advanced	Understanding the labour component of buying prices is an essential first step for member companies towards ensuring the payment of minimum wages – and towards the implementation of living wages.	Interviews with production staff, documents related to member's pricing policy and system, buying contracts.	4	4	0

**Comment:** Schijvens is aware of wage levels on a country basis. In addition to this, Schijvens started to integrate wage levels at its production locations into its tendering process, showing customers how the price they pay relates to wages paid in the factories. In all contracts with its customers the company makes clear what factors influence the price, i.e. price of materials, wages, transport costs and the US Dollar exchange rate. If one of these changes Schijvens is able to clarify to its customers that the price of the product changes. Schijvens also uses this open costing towards its customers to explain the difference with competitors.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.9 Member company actively responds if production locations fail to pay legal minimum wages and/or fail to provide wage data to verify minimum wage is paid.	No problems reported/no audits	If a supplier fails to pay minimum wage or minimum wage payments cannot be verified, FWF member companies are expected to hold management of the supplier accountable for respecting local labour law. Payment below minimum wage must be remediated urgently.	Complaint reports, CAPs, additional emails, FWF Audit Reports or additional monitoring visits by a FWF auditor, or other documents that show minimum wage issue is reported/resolved.	N/A	0	-2

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.10 Evidence of late payments to suppliers by member company.	No	Late payments to suppliers can have a negative impact on production locations and their ability to pay workers on time. Most garment workers have minimal savings, and even a brief delay in payments can cause serious problems.	Based on a complaint or audit report; review of production location and member company financial documents.	0	0	-1

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.11 Degree to which member company assesses and responds to root causes for wages that are lower than living wages in production locations.	Intermediate	Assessing the root causes for wages lower than living wages will determine what strategies/interventions are needed for increasing wages, which will result in a systemic approach	Evidence of how payment below living wage was addressed, such as: Internal policy and strategy documents, reports, correspondence with factories, etc	4	6	0

**Comment:** Schijvens has managed to increase wages at one of its production locations in Turkey. In addition, the company has started a similar project at its production location in Pakistan, where they have introduced living wages for one factory floor. The two production locations together are responsible for 60% of Schijvens production volume. Whenever the project is fully implemented in Pakistan, Schijvens is planning to expand the project to its other production locations.

**Recommendation:** FWF recommends Schijvens to continue to implement its lessons learned in other production locations.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.12 Percentage of production volume from factories owned by the member company (bonus indicator).	40%	Owning a supplier increases the accountability and reduces the risk of unexpected CoLP violations. Given these advantages, this is a bonus indicator. Extra points are possible, but the indicator will not negatively affect an member company's score.	Supplier information provided by member company.	1	2	0

Comment: Schijvens has a 80% share of one of its production location in Turkey and has a share at its production location in Pakistan.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.13 Member company determines and finances wage increases	Advanced	Assessing the root causes for wages lower than living wages will determine what strategies/interventions are needed for increasing wages, which will result in a systemic approach.	Evidence of how payment below living wage was addressed, such as: internal policy and strategy documents, reports, correspondence with factories, etc.	4	4	0

Comment: Schijvens has determined and financed a wage increase at its production location in Turkey. In addition, the company has started a similar project in its production location in Pakistan, where they first introduced to implement a wage increase for one factory floor.

Recommendation: Schijvens is encouraged to roll out their approach to other suppliers.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.14 Percentage of production volume where the member company pays its share of the target wage	38%	FWF member companies are challenged to adopt approaches that absorb the extra costs of increasing wages.	Member company's own documentation, evidence of target wage implementation, such as wage reports, factory documentation, communication with factories, etc.	2	3	0

**Comment:** Schijvens has paid its share for the wage increase at its production location in Turkey. This has been verified by Fair Wear Foundation. The factory in Turkey is responsible for 38% of Schijvens' production volume.

In the last financial year, the wage increase is determined and implemented for its production location in Pakistan, which is 23% of its production volume. This part has not been verified yet, so will not count for this year's performance check.

**Recommendation:** Schijvens is encouraged to roll out their approach to increase wages at factory level to other suppliers.

---

## PURCHASING PRACTICES

Possible Points: 49

**Earned Points: 40**

---

## 2. MONITORING AND REMEDIATION

BASIC MEASUREMENTS	RESULT	COMMENTS
% of own production under standard monitoring (excluding low-risk countries)	100%	
% of production volume where monitoring requirements for low-risk countries are fulfilled	0%	To be counted towards the monitoring threshold. FWF low-risk policy should be implemented. See indicator 2.9. (N/A = no production in low risk countries.)
Meets monitoring requirements for tail-end production locations.	Yes	
Requirement(s) for next performance check		
Total of own production under monitoring	100%	Measured as percentage of production volume (Minimums: 1 year: 40%; 2 years 60%; 3 years+: 80-100%)

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.1 Specific staff person is designated to follow up on problems identified by monitoring system	Yes	Followup is a serious part of FWF membership, and cannot be successfully managed on an ad-hoc basis.	Manuals, emails, etc., demonstrating who the designated staff person is.	2	2	-2

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.2 Quality of own auditing system meets FWF standards.	Member makes use of FWF audits and/or external audits only	In case FWF teams cannot be used, the member companies' own auditing system must ensure sufficient quality in order for FWF to approve the auditing system.	Information on audit methodology.	N/A	0	-1

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.3 Audit Report and Corrective Action Plan (CAP) findings are shared with factory and worker representation where applicable. Improvement timelines are established in a timely manner.	Yes	2 part indicator: FWF audit reports were shared and discussed with suppliers within two months of audit receipt AND a reasonable time frame was specified for resolving findings.	Corrective Action Plans, emails; findings of followup audits; brand representative present during audit exit meeting, etc.	2	2	-1

**Comment:** Audit Reports and Corrective Action Plans (CAP) are shared with agents and often also directly with the factory. Improvement timelines are established in a timely manner. Schijvens has not shared audit reports and CAPs with worker representatives until now.

**Recommendation:** Before an audit takes place, Schijvens is recommended to check with the supplier whether worker representatives are active. In this way, they can be involved from the start of an audit and be invited for the audit opening and exit meeting.

Including workers when following up on audit reports gives them the opportunity to be informed of issues in the factory and have a voice in the prioritization of issues.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.4 Degree of progress towards resolution of existing Corrective Action Plans and remediation of identified problems.	Advanced	FWF considers efforts to resolve CAPs to be one of the most important things that member companies can do towards improving working conditions.	CAP-related documentation including status of findings, documentation of remediation and follow up actions taken by member. Reports of quality assessments. Evidence of understanding relevant issues.	8	8	-2

**Comment:** Schijvens follows up on outstanding CAPs at least twice a month. During the last supplier meeting CAPs were discussed in a plenary session with all suppliers, so they could learn from each other and Schijvens was able to check certain responses. In addition, CAPs are also discussed by CEO and owner during annual visits to suppliers. In these discussions Schijvens addresses all findings and asks suppliers what they need from Schijvens in order to perform better. Specifically, Schijvens addressed the issue of excessive overtime with its suppliers to get a better insight in the root causes, also linking CMT production locations to material suppliers in order to better align the processes. Reducing overtime at its production locations remains a challenge.

Also, the company invested in training at different locations to address freedom of association and an anti harassment committee was established at its production location in Bangladesh.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.5 Percentage of production volume from production locations that have been visited by the member company in the previous financial year.	80%	Formal audits should be augmented by annual visits by member company staff or local representatives. They reinforce to production location managers that member companies are serious about implementing the Code of Labour Practices.	Member companies should document all production location visits with at least the date and name of the visitor.	4	4	0

**Comment:** Schijvens visited 80% of its production volume in the past financial year. In addition, Schijvens organises a supplier meeting each year where all suppliers and agents come together.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.6 Existing audit reports from other sources are collected.	Yes, quality assessed and corrective actions implemented	Existing reports form a basis for understanding the issues and strengths of a supplier, and reduces duplicative work.	Audit reports are on file; evidence of followup on prior CAPs. Reports of quality assessments.	3	3	0

**Comment:** Schijvens collected existing audit reports for all production locations in countries where FWF does not have an audit team and for new production locations. The company used the FWF quality assessment tool to draft a corrective action plan and actively followed up on it.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.7 Compliance with FWF risk policies.	Advanced result on all relevant policies	Aside from regular monitoring and remediation requirements under FWF membership, countries, specific areas within countries or specific product groups may pose specific risks that require additional steps to address and remediate those risks. FWF requires member companies to be aware of those risks and implement policy requirements as prescribed by FWF.	Policy documents, inspection reports, evidence of cooperation with other customers sourcing at the same factories, reports of meetings with suppliers, reports of additional activities and/or attendance lists as mentioned in policy documents.	6	6	-2
Compliance with FWF enhanced monitoring programme Bangladesh	Advanced			6	6	-2
Compliance with FWF Myanmar policy	Policies are not relevant to the company's supply chain			N/A	6	-2
Compliance with FWF guidance on abrasive blasting	Policies are not relevant to the company's supply chain			N/A	6	-2
Compliance with FWF guidance on risks related to Turkish garment factories employing Syrian refugees	Advanced			6	6	-2
Other risks specific to the member's supply chain are addressed by its monitoring system	Advanced			6	6	-2

**Comment:** Schijvens has signed the Accord during the last financial year and have been sourcing from a factory that was checked by the Accord previously already. This factory has received a fire safety training and has established an anti harassment committee. Schijvens produces in Turkey at two different locations and is aware of the specific risks. The company has adopted the FWF guidance as its policy on risks related to Turkish garment factories employing Syrian refugees. They monitor each factory and know whether there are Syrian workers and whether they have the correct permits. The local representative is in direct contact with all factories to check continuously and has participated in FWF seminars on this specific topic.

Schijvens is aware about the risks in its other production countries, like China and Pakistan for instance. The FWF country study, CSR risk checker, (existing) audit reports are used to get an understanding about the risks and these are part of the discussions with factory management.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.8 Member company cooperates with other FWF member companies in resolving corrective actions at shared suppliers.	Active cooperation	Cooperation between customers increases leverage and chances of successful outcomes. Cooperation also reduces the chances of a factory having to conduct multiple Corrective Action Plans about the same issue with multiple customers.	Shared CAPs, evidence of cooperation with other customers.	2	2	-1

**Comment:** Schijvens has been actively in contact with other companies to discuss the topic of living wages. Also there was cooperation with other FWF members on CAP follow up.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.9 Percentage of production volume where monitoring requirements for low-risk countries are fulfilled.	50-100%	Low-risk countries are determined by the presence and proper functioning of institutions which can guarantee compliance with national and international standards and laws. FWF has defined minimum monitoring requirements for production locations in low-risk countries.	Documentation of visits, notification of suppliers of FWF membership; posting of worker information sheets, completed questionnaires.	2	3	0

Comment: Schijvens produces a small percentage in low-risk countries (in Portugal) 0.05% of its total production volume. The monitoring requirements for low-risk countries were met.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.10 Extra bonus indicator: in case FWF member company conducts full audits at tail-end production locations (when the minimum required monitoring threshold is met).	Yes	FWF encourages its members to monitor 100% of its production locations and rewards those members who conduct full audits above the minimum required monitoring threshold.	Production location information as provided to FWF and recent Audit Reports.	2	2	0

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.11 Questionnaire is sent and information is collected from external brands resold by the member company.	No external brands resold	FWF believes it is important for affiliates that have a retail/wholesale arm to at least know if the brands they resell are members of FWF or a similar organisation, and in which countries those brands produce goods.	Questionnaires are on file.	N/A	2	0

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.12 External brands resold by member companies that are members of another credible initiative (% of external sales volume).	No external brands resold	FWF believes members who resell products should be rewarded for choosing to sell external brands who also take their supply chain responsibilities seriously and are open about in which countries they produce goods.	External production data in FWF's information management system. Documentation of sales volumes of products made by FWF or FLA members.	N/A	3	0

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.13 Questionnaire is sent and information is collected from licensees.	No licensees	FWF believes it is important for member companies to know if the licensee is committed to the implementation of the same labour standards and has a monitoring system in place.	Questionnaires are on file. Contracts with licensees.	N/A	1	0

---

## MONITORING AND REMEDIATION

Possible Points: 32

Earned Points: 31

---

### 3. COMPLAINTS HANDLING

BASIC MEASUREMENTS	RESULT	COMMENTS
Number of worker complaints received since last check	0	At this point, FWF considers a high number of complaints as a positive indicator, as it shows that workers are aware of and making use of the complaints system.
Number of worker complaints in process of being resolved	0	
Number of worker complaints resolved since last check	0	

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.1 A specific employee has been designated to address worker complaints	Yes	Followup is a serious part of FWF membership, and cannot be successfully managed on an ad-hoc basis.	Manuals, emails, etc., demonstrating who the designated staff person is.	1	1	-1

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.2 Member company has informed factory management and workers about the FWF CoLP and complaints hotline.	Yes	Informing both management and workers about the FWF Code of Labour Practices and complaints hotline is a first step in alerting workers to their rights. The Worker Information Sheet is a tool to do this and should be visibly posted at all production locations.	Photos by company staff, audit reports, checklists from production location visits, etc.	2	2	-2

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.3 Degree to which member company has actively raised awareness of the FWF CoLP and complaints hotline.	63%	After informing workers and management of the FWF CoLP and the complaints hotline, additional awareness raising and training is needed to ensure sustainable improvements and structural worker-management dialogue.	Training reports, FWF's data on factories enrolled in the WEP basic module. For alternative training activities: curriculum, training content, participation and outcomes.	4	6	0

**Comment:** Schijvens has invested in CoLP awareness sessions at three of its production locations, together they count for 63% of its production volume.

**Recommendation:** Schijvens could consider implementing additional activities to raise awareness about the FWF Code of Labour Practices and FWF complaint hotline next to providing good quality training. This could include providing the FWF worker information cards to workers during visits or when handing out payslips, making use of FWF's Factory Guide, stimulating peer-to-peer learning among workers and ensuring factory management regularly informs workers, in particular new workers, about their rights and available grievance mechanisms.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.4 All complaints received from production location workers are addressed in accordance with the FWF Complaints Procedure	No complaints received	Providing access to remedy when problems arise is a key element of responsible supply chain management. Member company involvement is often essential to resolving issues.	Documentation that member company has completed all required steps in the complaints handling process.	N/A	6	-2

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.5 Cooperation with other customers in addressing worker complaints at shared suppliers	No complaints or cooperation not possible / necessary	Because most production locations supply several customers with products, involvement of other customers by the FWF member company can be critical in resolving a complaint at a supplier.	Documentation of joint efforts, e.g. emails, sharing of complaint data, etc.	N/A	2	0

## COMPLAINTS HANDLING

Possible Points: 9

Earned Points: 7

## 4. TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.1 All staff at member company are made aware of FWF membership.	Yes	Preventing and remediating problems often requires the involvement of many different departments; making all staff aware of FWF membership requirements helps to support cross-departmental collaboration when needed.	Emails, trainings, presentation, newsletters, etc.	1	1	0

Comment: All staff at Schijvens is made aware of FWF membership. For example when strategic plans are discussed, its relation to the FWF membership requirements are highlighted.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.2 All staff in direct contact with suppliers are informed of FWF requirements.	Yes	Sourcing, purchasing and CSR staff at a minimum should possess the knowledge necessary to implement FWF requirements and advocate for change within their organisations.	FWF Seminars or equivalent trainings provided; presentations, curricula, etc.	2	2	-1

Comment: All staff in direct contact with suppliers are made aware about FWF requirements by attending trainings/webinars and when needed updates are either discussed directly as it is a small team that sits in the same space.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.3 All sourcing contractors/agents are informed about FWF's Code of Labour Practices.	Yes + actively support COLP	Agents have the potential to either support or disrupt CoLP implementation. It is the responsibility of member company to ensure agents actively support the implementation of the CoLP.	Correspondence with agents, trainings for agents, FWF audit findings.	2	2	0

Comment: Schijvens works with two agents for its production in Pakistan and China. Schijvens' agents are aware of FWF and actively support the FWF CoLP and CAP follow-up. All agents are part of the annual supplier meeting where FWF membership is explained.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.4 Factory participation in training programmes that support transformative processes related to human rights.	10%	Complex human rights issues such as freedom of association or gender-based violence require more in-depth trainings that support factory-level transformative processes. FWF has developed several modules, however, other (member-led) programmes may also count.	Training reports, FWF's data on factories enrolled in training programmes. For alternative training activities: curriculum, training content, participation and outcomes.	2	6	0

**Comment:** Schijvens has invested in (gender based) violence prevention trainings for its production location in Bangladesh.

**Recommendation:** FWF recommends members to check whether their supplier conducts regular anti-harassment committee meetings, whether an external expert attends these meetings and whether complaints are reported to the committee. The member should also communicate to suppliers that reported incidents will not result in negative consequences (such as withdrawing orders) as long as the factory investigates and remediates them accordingly. The member could also check whether committee members and management are organizing awareness raising activities about sexual harassment and whether re-elections of the committee and/or re-training are needed, e.g. due to worker turnover.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.5 Degree to which member company follows up after a training programme.	Active follow-up	After factory-level training programmes, complementary activities such as remediation and changes on brand level will achieve a lasting impact.	Documentation of discussions with factory management and worker representatives, minutes of regular worker-management dialogue meetings or anti-harassment committees.	2	2	0

Comment: The violence prevention training are 3 sessions spread over a couple of years. Schijvens has visited the factory and evaluated the training programme, an anti harassment committee has been established.

## TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING

Possible Points: 13

Earned Points: 9

## 5. INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
5.1 Level of effort to identify all production locations	Advanced	Any improvements to supply chains require member companies to first know all of their production locations.	Supplier information provided by member company. Financial records of previous financial year. Documented efforts by member company to update supplier information from its monitoring activities.	6	6	-2

**Comment:** Schijvens has agreed with all production locations that CMT production cannot be subcontracted. Schijvens has contacted all suppliers about subcontractors and what services are outsourced, this information has been included in the FWF database. Audit reports and realistic production capacity are used to double check the production location and when necessary to identify subcontractors.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
5.2 CSR and other relevant staff actively share information with each other about working conditions at production locations.	Yes	CSR, purchasing and other staff who interact with suppliers need to be able to share information in order to establish a coherent and effective strategy for improvements.	Internal information system; status CAPs, reports of meetings of purchasing/CSR; systematic way of storing information.	1	1	-1

**Comment:** All information regarding suppliers is saved on the company server and accessible for all relevant staff. Schijvens developed working groups- in which all departments are represented- to discuss strategic topics, such as living wages and delivery times.

---

## INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

Possible Points: 7

Earned Points: 7

---

## 6. TRANSPARENCY

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
6.1 Degree of member company compliance with FWF Communications Policy.	Minimum communications requirements are met AND no significant problems found	FWF's communications policy exists to ensure transparency for consumers and stakeholders, and to ensure that member communications about FWF are accurate. Members will be held accountable for their own communications as well as the communications behaviour of 3rd-party retailers, resellers and customers.	FWF membership is communicated on member's website; other communications in line with FWF communications policy.	2	2	-3

Comment: Schijvens communicates about FWF and its FWF membership on its website, in documents shared with customers, tenders and in company presentations.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
6.2 Member company engages in advanced reporting activities	Supplier list is disclosed to the public.	Good reporting by members helps to ensure the transparency of FWF's work and shares best practices with the industry.	Member company publishes one or more of the following on their website: Brand Performance Check, Audit Reports, Supplier List.	2	2	0

Comment: On its website Schijvens provides information of its production locations, such as audit results and developments. Also, the performance check is published

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
6.3 Social Report is submitted to FWF and is published on member company's website	Complete and accurate report submitted to FWF AND published on member's website.	The social report is an important tool for members to transparently share their efforts with stakeholders. Member companies should not make any claims in their social report that do not correspond with FWF's communication policy.	Social report that is in line with FWF's communication policy.	2	2	-1

## TRANSPARENCY

Possible Points: 6

Earned Points: 6

## 7. EVALUATION

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
7.1 Systemic annual evaluation of FWF membership is conducted with involvement of top management	Yes	An annual evaluation involving top management ensures that FWF policies are integrated into the structure of the company.	Meeting minutes, verbal reporting, Powerpoints, etc.	2	2	0

Comment: Schijvens regularly evaluates FWF membership with top management (at least on a monthly basis). The company is involved indifferent initiatives addressing sustainability in the garment supply chain.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
7.2 Level of action/progress made on required changes from previous Brand Performance Check implemented by member company.	No requirements were included in previous Check	In each Brand Performance Check report, FWF may include requirements for changes to management practices. Progress on achieving these requirements is an important part of FWF membership and its process approach.	Member company should show documentation related to the specific requirements made in the previous Brand Performance Check.	N/A	4	-2

## EVALUATION

Possible Points: 2

Earned Points: 2

## RECOMMENDATIONS TO FWF

Schijvens would like to receive audit report on time, to be able to discuss corrective actions with factory management.

Schijvens wants to start monitoring deeper in their supply chain, further than tier one. Support of FWF would be appreciated.

## SCORING OVERVIEW

CATEGORY	EARNED	POSSIBLE
Purchasing Practices	40	49
Monitoring and Remediation	31	32
Complaints Handling	7	9
Training and Capacity Building	9	13
Information Management	7	7
Transparency	6	6
Evaluation	2	2
Totals:	102	118

### BENCHMARKING SCORE (EARNED POINTS DIVIDED BY POSSIBLE POINTS)

86

### PERFORMANCE BENCHMARKING CATEGORY

Leader

## BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK DETAILS

Date of Brand Performance Check:

19-08-2019

Conducted by:

Rosan van Wolveren and Jesse Bloemendaal

Interviews with:

Jeske van Korven, CSR manager

Jaap Rijnsdorp, CEO and Buying Manager